

MEETING	Monday, May 4, 2015
TIME	5:42 PM
PLACE	Sullivan Chamber
PRESIDING OFFICER	Mayor David P. Maher
PRESENT	Mayor Maher, Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons and Toomey
ABSENT	Councillor Carlone
<i>Mayor Maher announced that the meeting was being recorded with visual and audio devices.</i>	
PRESENTATIONS	none
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	
MOMENT OF MEDITATION	
SUBMISSION OF THE MINUTES	On a motion of Councillor McGovern the submission of the minutes for the April 13, 2015 meeting was accepted on a voice vote of eight members.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Kathy Hoffman, 67 Pleasant Street, stated that she is representing a nine person delegation who went to El Salvador for April vacation. She stated that there will be a report back on May 15th at 5:30 p.m. with salsa dancing and delegation at 7:00 p.m. at Amigos School. She stated that the Mayor of El Salvador extends his greetings to the Mayor and the City Council. One hundred percent (100%) of children are in school and it is thriving. From the people of Las Flores to the City Council, thank you for the ongoing support.

Carol O'Hare, 172 Magazine Street, spoke on Charter Right #1. She stated that commercial signage is a quality of life issue just like noise and smell. All can intrude and if permitted, overwhelm us. She asked why these tenants need more than enough of every type of sign. She stated that zoning permits 145 square feet of signage. The tenants existing signs are 139 square feet. She stated that Historical Commission inexplicable approved the sign scheme without discussion at their approval meeting. The Planning Board reviewed the signage and recommended that the Board of Zoning Appeals disapprove the signs. The Planning Board acknowledged that designing useful signs for the building is difficult and told the petitioners to go back and do a re-design. She stated that the Inspectional Services Department zoning personnel did approve the signs despite what is written in the agenda. She noted that the Inspectional Services Department has recently determined that the signs would violate the zoning ordinance. Their hearing has been continued until May 28th because the petitioners did not post proper notice of the third continuance of the hearing. She stated that this is classic overreaching for more and more signage. If these ten banners are allowed, others will want the same.

Sarah Kennedy, Director of Government Affairs, Chamber of Commerce, spoke on City Manager Agenda #11 in support of the getting to Net Zero Action Plan. She stated that the Chamber of Commerce has had multiple opportunities to hear from the task force on their proposed action plan. She stated that the Chamber is appreciative of how responsive the task force has been in answering questions and concerns along the way. She stated that it is the Chamber's hope that the city will fully understand what the proposed regulations and expectations will yield and to know that requiring new construction as Net Zero will not discourage new small and medium sized businesses from opening or growing. She stated that the Chamber is happy to see that continuous study will run concurrent with each juncture of proposed regulation.

Henrietta Davis, 120 Chestnut Street, stated that she is a member of the NetZero Task Force. She stated her support for the referral of recommendations to the Health and Environment Committee. The significance is that there is a roadmap for getting where the city needs to be. She stated that if it was broken down piece by piece they could get there in a significant way. She stated that she looks forward to working with the committee.

Ilan Levy, 148 Spring Street, stated that in 2014 the City Council passed an order asking the City Manager to explore a variety of options regarding the Foundry. He stated that the amount of six million dollars is not justified and until all options have been explored, this disposition process should be stopped.

Elie Yarden, 143 Pleasant Street, spoke on Policy Order #1 by Councillor Toomey regarding salaries paid to apprenticeships. He stated that regarding Net Zero, he is grateful for the work that has gone into studying the feasibility of all the ideas that it contains. They are purely technological and none will work without the social and

political framework. He stated that if behaviors do not change, none of it will; it will only generate more waste. He stated that the idea that you can deal with climate change without getting rid of the institution of poverty is nonsense. The poor will not allow it.

Hasson Rashid, 820 Massachusetts Avenue, stated that he has heard from city policy makers and municipal administrators that the City of Cambridge is economically self-sufficient. He stated that on the City Manager's agenda there are many items that refer to borrowing money for different things. If the city is self-sufficient, why does it have to borrow money? He stated that he would like the Foundry to be used for homeless housing. He stated that the building should be donated to the Department of Public Health for homeless housing.

Marilyn Wellons, 651 Green Street, spoke on Calendar Item #1. She thanked the City Council for unanimous passage of Policy Order #7 last week that sets the city on the path of solving the problem of noise pollution. She stated that the bad thing that is addressed is visual clutter. She urged the City Council to say no to that issue.

Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street, stated that as it relates to 30 Brattle Street, she asked that the City Council vote against this application. Regarding the appropriation for the Foundry, she urged approval and stated that she would like it increased in the future. She stated that the Foundry will be an asset for the entire City and it is appropriate for the City Council to get it to the point that almost anything can be done with it that will be for the good of the city.

Minka van Beuzekom, 20 Essex Street, spoke about Net Zero and the recommendation on the City Manager's agenda. She reminded the City Council that she was against visual clutter and questioned why there was such an allowance for signage around the city. She urged the City Council to make a note that we do want to keep visual clutter to a minimum. She stated that there was a tremendous amount of work in the production of the Net Zero document. There are cities that have made commitments to be Net Zero sooner than 2050. She stated that there is a table in the handout that goes through each of the different sectors and when they will start to be Net Zero. She stated that the timetable can be accelerated. She would like to reach targets earlier than what the table suggests. The recommendations in the report are straightforward. She stated that it is not just Kendall Square that can have Eco districts. In the introduction, she reminded people that it was in 2009 when there was first talk of this type of initiative.

CONSENT AGENDA

- # 2 Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question come on adoption.
- # 4 Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question comes on adoption.
- #10 Referred to the public hearing scheduled for May 4, 2015 at 7:00 PM.
- #11 Referred to the Health and Environment Committee.

NON CONSENT AGENDA

1 Here insert Agenda # 1 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Toomey commented that it seems that it is the same four neighborhoods each year where the money is being appropriated. He asked if there is a tally of money spent in these four neighborhoods on construction. He asked if there is end for the construction for these four neighborhoods. City Manager Rossi stated that this work is being done throughout the City. There are still projects in various neighborhoods which will be done on a continuous basis. This is work to protect the asset, the sewer system, storm water relief and dual separation. These are projects that the City will be engaged in for many years. Councillor Toomey asked why this work cannot be completed. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that timelines can be provided to the City Council for various projects. There are beginnings and endings for a number of City projects. She stated that in terms of how Public Works uses the Agassiz neighborhood which is a huge area that includes Mid-Cambridge and there was work completed at the time that the Main Library was constructed. She stated that the work goes up to North Cambridge. The catchment area is the Agassiz Area Project but it actually is a series of a lot of small projects that have happened over the years. She stated that West Cambridge is experiencing the sewer and storm water work intensely and the bulk of this will be done by the end of next years. Councillor Toomey noted that a lot of money has been put into Area Four, but flooding still exists. He asked at what point all this money will make a positive difference to the Area Four residents. Mr. Rossi stated that the City is yielding results year after year. He stated that at the end of Kirkland Street where it goes down to Bryant, Scott and Holden Streets, used to experience deep flooding. The City continues to work on the Agassiz area and on other projects the whole area needs to be completed. The Kirkland Street area does not have the issues of flooding that it once had. He further stated that New Street where it meets Fresh Pond Parkway in the Sozio area used to be under water after storms and this is an area where the City has made positive gains. He noted that this is work that will be seen in this community for a long time and as projects are completed other areas will see benefits, but this work will continue for many years. Councillor Toomey requested a timetable of the past work and what the future holds for this area.

Vice Mayor Benzan stated that he too would be curious to see the timetable for the sewer and storm water projects that have happened in Area Four. At this time Vice Mayor Benzan moved suspension of the rules to dispense with the regular order of business and bring forward Agenda #6.

The question now came on suspension of the rules and on a voice vote the rules were - Suspended.

Here insert Agenda #6 read by Mayor Maher. Vice Mayor Benzan stated that he was pleased to see in the Capital Budget that there are some water and sewer separation projects that will occur in Area Four as well as street and sidewalk repair. He asked how the City prioritized which neighborhoods that sewer and storm water separation work will commence. He noted that Cherry Street will see sewer separation work completed. He stated that Commissioner O'Riordan spoke about water storage tanks in Clement Morgan Park and on Lot 6 and asked how this ties to the money set aside in the budget for sidewalk improvements. He asked if some of the work will be part of the sewer separation work. Mr. Rossi stated that there are two ways where street and sidewalk work can be improved. When sewer separation work is done and the street and sidewalks are dug up provisions are made within those budgets to replace the streets and sidewalks with all new. He stated that Agenda # 6 is an addition \$4 million that the finance team has put forth this year as additional street and sidewalk work. The work is selected upon an evaluation and priority that the Commissioner of Public Works, the City Engineer and their team put together. This plan is based on condition, age, etc. so storm water relief and sewer separation work will not be done unless it is the next project up in terms of need. He stated that sometimes projects allow the City to get outside financing. He stated that this year with the conditions related to the severe winter storms the City received an extra \$360,000 in Chapter 90 work that was appropriated earlier this year. The City goes to the list of streets. The City tries to make sure that the work is fair economically and geographically.

Vice Mayor Benzan reiterated the ways in which street and sidewalk repair can occur in Area Four. He asked if the Complete Street Reconstruction is a possibility also. Deputy City Manager Peterson explained that the streets are included in the Five Year Plan. She stated that in the FY16 Capital Budget there is \$25 million sewer bond proceeds that are going to be issued for the Cherry Street, South Mass. Avenue sewer separation water management area. This is going into the next level of design and construction. Within the \$8 million allocated for streets and sidewalks Cherry, School, Windsor Streets and portions of the sidewalks on Windsor Street are included in the FY16 allocations. Vice Mayor Benzan stated that Area Four and East Cambridge have the parts of the City that have been impacted by development along the Main Street corridor. He commented on area infrastructure in Area Four. He wanted to see the street and sidewalk repairs soon. He stated that he is a critic of extending many of the City sidewalks with the curb extensions. He stated that he does not believe extending the curbs work. He does not agree with extending the sidewalks unless it is to be ADA compliant. The larger sidewalks are to encourage walking, but it is a major expenditure. He stated that

parking was eliminated on Green Street to extend the sidewalks in an area where there is not much pedestrian traffic. He stated that he did not know what the City is trying to accomplish. He stated that during the budget hearing discussions he will be looking for who is paying for this and what the cost was to extend the sidewalk behind the Alice K. Wolf Multi-service Center. He complained about the use of granite and not enough green and tree plantings. He asked if the community made the decision to extend the sidewalk behind the Alice K. Wolf Multi-service Center. He wanted to know what is the cost and where is the City going with this.

Councillor Simmons was happy to see reference to street work in Area Four. She stated that she had previously submitted a Policy Order together with Vice Mayor Benzan regarding flooding in Area Four. She wanted the sewer, storm water, curb extensions and sidewalk work better organized. She commented that a street or sidewalk is laid down only to have Comcast or the utility companies come along and undue the work. She asked if the City is doing work on Cherry Street can the City find out if the utility companies need to do work also so that all the work is done at the same time.

Councillor Simmons stated that regarding Agenda #6 and sidewalk repair, Harvard Street, between Norfolk and Columbia Street, there is a tree that has pushed the sidewalk up. She stated that this is one time that bumping out the curb makes sense because the tree makes the sidewalk narrow and you cannot walk on the sidewalk with a walker or a carriage. She stated that instances where trees interrupt the sidewalk she hopes that the curbs are extended. She hopes that there is a timeline so that the City Council can see that the work is being done. She also spoke about the sidewalk at 55 Essex Street, 7-10 Suffolk Street and Eaton Street. She stated that if there is a priority these streets need to be first. She noted where the City is removing trees because of sidewalk work the City should rethink if trees should be brought back to these locations. She spoke about the refuse that gets stuck in the tree wells that does not get cleaned. She asked what types of sidewalks are being planned, concrete or brick. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that it depends on the street. The City prefers concrete because it is easier to maintain. She further stated that if the street is brick it will be replaced with brick.

Councillor McGovern spoke about the bump out on Green Street. He did ask how these decisions are made about the bump outs. He stated that he hears that it is for safety and for wide streets it makes sense. He wanted a better idea of how these decisions are made. Mr. Rossi stated that in the 1990's, with community input and process and in an effort to improve pedestrian safety and the beginning of bicycle lanes there was an effort to make Cambridge a safer more walkable city. This was a well thought out plan that was reaffirmed year after year by the City Council. Now there is a different City Council with a view which differs. This can be discussed at the Capital budget hearing. This is a well-orchestrated plan that has been followed for years and is a changed look and feel on how the streets and sidewalks are prepared. Councillor McGovern stated that it would be good to communicate this to the City Council. He stated that the brick

sidewalk on Pleasant Street. He stated that his elderly neighbors at 411 Franklin Street have asked him if the sidewalk is going to be brick or concrete. He has informed them that the bricks will be put back. They are not pleased because brick are more difficult to walk on. He stated that it did not seem that there was process around this.

Councillor Kelley thanked the City staff for the median strip being put back on Mass. Avenue; it is much safer. He explained that when road work is done where there is a seam in the roadway is dangerous for cyclists. He stated a perfect example of what should not be done is on Mass. Avenue between Harvard and Central Squares. He stated that when roadwork is done the most vulnerable people who are using the sidewalk, the pedestrians and cyclists, must be considered. He noted that tree wells are not in this year's budget and it is an expense to maintain the tree wells. He stated that he would be submitting a Policy Order asked what the City's tree well program is. Mr. Rossi stated that this information can be sought at the budget hearings.

Mayor Maher mentioned that the street in front of the Mt. Auburn Hospital on Mt. Auburn Street is in terrible shape. This is a major street and he hopes that this is on the list for repair.

Mayor Maher moved passage to a second reading of the following loan order in the amount of \$37,750,000)

(HERE INSERT FIRST PUBLICATION #3356)

The loan order was -

Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question come on adoption.

Mayor Maher moved passage to a second reading of the following loan order in the amount of \$4,000,000)

(HERE INSERT FIRST PUBLICATION #3361)

The loan order was -

Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question come on adoption.

3 Here insert Agenda # 3 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Kelley asked if the Harvard Square public toilet is included in this scope of work. Deputy City Manager Peterson responded that the funding for the public toilet was included in the FY15 budget and it is ordered and is expected to be installed in either June or July. She stated the location for the public toilet will be McArthur Square, across the street from the Old Burying Ground. She added that the utility work is being completed now. He added that he hopes the lessons learned with the community input for the Harvard Square public toilet will help with the location of the Central Square public toilet that was approved as part of the Participatory Budgetary process.

Councillor Toomey asked Mr. Rossi if the Out of Town Kiosk is city-owned property. Mr. Rossi stated that the vendor is on a year-to-year lease renewal. The vendor has been informed that the City is interested in repurposing this site. The City Administration will come back to the City Council with its plans. Councillor Toomey asked if the MBTA is involved in the plans. Mr. Rossi stated that he has met with and there will be more meetings with the MBTA about the repurposing the building. He stated that the building does need work if the City proceeds with the repurposing. The building will need technology. Redoing the plaza will be expensive and the City is not prepared to do this. The City is ready to generate new ideas on how the general public could better utilize this whole area.

Mayor Maher moved passage to a second reading of the following loan order in the amount of \$4,600,000)

(HERE INSERT FIRST PUBLICATION #3358)

The loan order was -

Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question come on adoption.

5 Here insert Agenda # 5 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Toomey asked for a timeline for the complete roof replacement on the Kennedy Longfellow School and a new boiler at the Fletcher Maynard Academy. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that this is for the entire roof and a solar array. This will be determined after a structural examination to see how much of the solar array it can carry. When the money is available in July they will move forward with design. Mr. Rossi stated that SBAB provided assistance who reviewed the projects and the City has applied for funding through SBAB. Hopefully this will be forthcoming, but the City is moving forward with the planning.

Councillor Toomey questioned the funding for the King Open/Harrington School design. There have been several community meetings to gather the input from residents. He informed the City Manager that he is hearing a lot from the community about the indoor swimming pool. He stated that the City will be pressed to do this. He asked what the timeline is for the architects coming back with suggestions. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that there will be a meeting scheduled by the end of May or the beginning of June. She stated that the City is looking at multiple uses on this site and it will be challenging to have an indoor pool at this site based on the square footage needs and the cost. It is a goal to have this building be NetZero. She stated that she wanted to set reasonable expectations and the City is looking at a seasonal pool at this location. Councillor Toomey stated that he wanted to see the cost benefit analysis of

two months versus twelve months. He stated that a community pool would be an asset that would benefit all ages of the entire City.

Councillor McGovern stated that fifth grade students have to take swimming lessons and they have to travel to the High School. He stated that if there were an inside pool at this location the swimming lessons could be done at this location. He hoped that the roof improvement would move swiftly. He stated that there were other needed improvements at the Kennedy Longfellow. He stated that this school is turning itself around and is doing work with MIT on biotech. This building deserves much better treatment than it is getting now.

Councillor Kelley asked Mr. Rossi about temporary space for the library services because it will be out for three years. Mr. Rossi stated that the Librarian is suggesting increasing the hours at the O'Connell Branch for more day and nighttime hours. Some of the collection will be placed in schools and in the Main Library. He stated that Ms. Flannery has a whole plan and address this at the budget hearing. She is planning to keep the library open until November 2015. Councillor Kelley wanted more discussion on this and why there cannot be a more temporary space for the library near the site.

Mayor Maher moved passage to a second reading of the following loan order in the amount of \$15,700,000)

(HERE INSERT FIRST PUBLICATION #3360)

The loan order was -

Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question come on adoption.

7 Here insert Agenda # 7 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Kelley moved suspension of the rules in order to dispense with the regular order of business and bring forward Agenda # 8.

The question now came on suspension of the rules and on a voice vote the rules were -

Suspended.

Here insert Agenda # 8 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Kelley stated that it is important to discuss what equipment is being purchased and what the equipment will be used for. He stated that he was surprised by what was purchased in the past because the terminology was not understood. He asked for an explanation of what the two grants will accomplish, equipment to be purchased and training provided. Mr. Rossi stated that he would have Superintendent of Police Wilson to explain. He stated that there are two issues. One is a firewall issue; the other technology to install computers in police cruisers. The grant of \$28,000 is for in vehicle monitor system. This grant will allow the City to retrofit last year's allotment of cruisers with a new in dash vehicle system deployed in this year's marked vehicles. This replaces the factory dash with a computer dash. The newer vehicle have space limitations. This will free up space and

make it more ergonomic for the officers. The \$88,117.14 is for a network security firewall. This puts a border between the City's network and the other networks that the police talk to. This falls right in line with replacing the current firewall. Councillor Kelley stated that he wants to have discussions on what the future of policing includes and how other agencies give the City policing resources. Councillors Kelley and Cheung extended his congratulations to Superintendent Wilson on his promotion.

The following order was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:
(HERE COPY ORDER FOR \$88,117.14)

On this question the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillor Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was -
Adopted.

The following order was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:
(HERE COPY ORDER FOR \$28,000)

On this question the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillor Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was -
Adopted.

9 Here insert Agenda # 9 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Toomey stated that this has been before the City Council since 2013. He stated that he has raised questions about the responsibility of Little League and Pop Warner coaches. He asked if there has been any discussions with these organizations in the interim. Mr. Rossi responded that the Recreation and the School Departments have had conversations. It was his understanding that this item would be forwarded to a committee. All parties will be at the committee hearing prepared to discuss this matter. Councillor Toomey raised his concern about the civil penalty in Section 9. He has serious concerns about how this will affect the coaches. Mr. Rossi stated that what is before the City Council now are Health Regulations promulgated by the Health Department. This is not in ordinance form. He was hoping that the issues could be discussed in committee and if worked out and agreed to by the City Council these could remain Health Department Regulations. This is far from completion. Councillor Toomey requested the City Manager to report back to the City Council on whether the Health Department has had discussions with the various coaches.

9 Referred to the Health and Environment Committee.

#10 Here insert Agenda # 10 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Toomey moved to refer the matter to the Public Hearing scheduled for May 4, 2015 - and on a voice vote the motion -

Carried.

HEARING - FOUNDRY BUILDING

Mayor Maher announced at 7:13 PM that by prior assignment the City Council would proceed to a public hearing on a proposal by the City of Cambridge to dispose of a long-term leasehold interest in the Foundry Property located at 101 Rogers Street to the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority (CRA) and on a request for diminution of the full disposition process. The public hearing is being held pursuant to the requirements of Section 2.110 of the Cambridge Municipal Code, regarding Disposition of City Property.

Present at the hearing were Tom Evans, Executive Director, CRA, D. Margaret Drury and Barry Zevin, CRA board members and Catherine Madden, Strategic Planner.

Mayor Maher outlined the votes that will be taken on this matter. He turned the hearing over to the Deputy City Manager Lisa Peterson.

Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that the City is pleased to have this public hearing pursuant to Chapter 2.110 of the Municipal Code regarding the Disposition of City Property. She stated that after the public hearing it is hoped that the City Council will feel comfortable to authorize the City Manager to enter into a long term lease with the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority and to accept the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority Demonstration Project Plan on the Foundry. She stated that a presentation will be provided by Mr. Evans. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that after the City gets through the disposition process and the votes are taken by the City Council, the next chapter for the Foundry will be to form the advisory committee which will help to oversee the stewardship of this project. The next period entered will be the "competition of ideas." This will capture developers, not and for profit developers, who will come and talk about what are the possibilities for this building as well as the right mix for the building. She informed the City Council that there have been seventeen community meetings on the Foundry. This has established a vision and objective for the building.

Mr. Evans stated that the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority is very excited to present this collaboration between the CRA and the City. He spoke about the purpose and the plan. He stated that the purpose of the Demonstration Plan is to deliver a legacy project that will provide a community asset over the long term. The CRA believes that a public/private partnership, combining resources from the City and the development community with their programmatic expertise will provide a suite of public benefits and provide a long term asset to the City and its residents. The process has been robust. He explained the vision of the Foundry will be to foster creativity and

innovation of Kendall Square in a collaborative environment with a mix of cultural, educational, manufacturing and commercial uses serving a broad sector of Cambridge residents. The renovated building will be designed to be flexible, accessible, inclusive, and welcoming to the public. The activities within the building will be multigenerational and multicultural and provide a citywide and neighborhood resource that is financially sustainable for years to come. Mr. Evans stated that series of community objectives for the Foundry building were established. The objective is that the building become the innovative center with a focus on STEAM, but not solely on STEAM. There has been a focus on the workforce and economic development contribution to the community and to ensure that the building is programmed in such a way that it is fully accessible and that it meets the community objective of community art availability. He wanted to make sure that the process is robust and that the building is meeting the objectives. He stated that there have been twenty public meetings held on the Foundry. He stated that reuse study was done by HMFH. Site tours have been held. Development alternatives have been discussed. He stated that the role of the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority has been explored. He explained through the continued public process the building program concepts will be realized together with the vision and objectives. He stated that financial feasibility analysis was done and criteria for the evaluation in the future was done. He stated that through this process it is realized that there is an invaluable asset in the Foundry building to be utilized but requires capital improvement. He stated that the repair to the structure and core are significant. A public/private partnership can leverage the City and private capital to make the needed repairs to the structure and to use the building for the objectives that have been established. Mr. Evans stated that there are processes in the deal that the financing will be revisited on a ten year basis to recalibrate the rental structures and the reinvestment process to ensure that the building is being maintained as a community asset. He spoke about the financial strategy. There is a \$12 million need for structural core repairs and an additional \$5-\$10 million for tenant fit-out. This varies dependent on the programmatic content of the building. He stated that the City has proposed an allocation of \$6 million for the project. The Cambridge Redevelopment Authority has committed a \$2 million allocation. He stated that other financial opportunities need to be created to not only fund for capital improvements but also to fund an operational reserve to provide a back stop for the various community programs below market use fitting into this building. He stated that the term of the lease will be designed so that the investment in the building will be reinvested by the development entity so there will be control over the maintenance of and programs in the building.

Mr. Evans stated that as the feasibility study was done with the CRA consultants HR&A found a scenario whereby market rent development in a portion of the building could support 20,000-25,000 square feet of below market/community uses or approximately 40% of the building. He stated that designing funding structure that was providing the capital investment but also an ongoing programmatic investment for the building over the years. What has been proposed is a ground lease payment structure from the development entity that would then be recycled back into the buildings programmatic and capital needs. This would be evaluated every ten years. He stated that the goal is

to create a building that can operate as a community asset without a financial burden on the City. He stated that there is an important co-collaboration between the market and below market rate uses. The below market uses will be the main thrust of the building. He stated that a key part of the structure proposed is an oversight infrastructure that begins with the City maintaining ownership of the building and the land. The CRA will have a long term lease to ensure bringing about a long term investment plan for the building. The City Manager will set up an advisory committee that will advise in the selection process as well as the ongoing programming of the building, oversight of the building, evaluation of the capital investment and the shifts that the building needs to adapt to. He stated that sub-lease structure from the CRA to the development entity would carry forth the objectives and vision to make sure that they are adhered to through the life of the sub-lease. Performance criteria will be followed to ensure that the goals are being met to bring about a collaborative space that provides economic opportunities, program and artistic and creative space. He spoke about the procurement process. The procurement process will be a competition of ideas. The process will be a two-step process that begins with a Request for Qualifications to announce that the City is interested in finding development entities, public or private, for profit or non-profit or a joint venture. The market interest in this project will be tested. Proposal will be created to encourage people seeking space who might be fitting with the vision of the Foundry. Partnerships will be built between the development entity and potential programmatic occupants of the building. Mr. Evans stated that from what is learned from the Request for Qualifications a Request for Proposals will be issued. At this time the financing and the programming of the building will be finitely looked at for the vision as planned. He gave more detail on a draft for the Request for Qualifications evaluation criteria. In this part of the process it will be reviewed if the development entity qualifies with a public benefit plan and understands the vision that the building be inclusive and a creative flexible design of the building. Mr. Evans emphasized the contribution to the public benefit of the building. He was imperative that developers understand that the entire Foundry building functions as stated in the vision. All tenants must participate in the vision.

Mr. Evans stated that further in the Request for Qualifications process the CRA will request basic development scenarios where the majority of the building is occupied by below market rent tenants. He stated that the message sent to the development community is that this is a unique collaborative effort between uses. He stated that the next step will be a Request for Proposals which will be written from information from the Request for Qualifications. This will produce how the building will be financed, the programmatic objectives and the management strategy of the proposal and to ensure that the management strategy is manageable for this building for a long time. After the Request for Qualifications the Request for Proposals will be brought back to the City Council for comments. After a response period a short list will be brought back before a public forum, including the CRA Board, to present presentations publicly. He stated that the process will be open and transparent throughout. The Request for Proposals will not only look for completed tasks and they will be evaluated. The state requires that all

proposals be evaluated on a continuum of factors. The most important factor is the maximization of public benefits. He stated a more advantageous proposal will be one that comes in with 40-60% of the building for below market community uses. He stated that the space must be open and collaborative. What is being looked for is creativity in design and programming. It is imperative that the development entity is qualified to execute the project, deliver the development and manage it long term. All of this will be evaluated.

Mr. Evans stated that what is before the City Council this evening will move this project forward into the next step of procurement process. He outlined the votes needed for the disposition and diminution process, the Demonstration Plan voted on by the CRA Board requires a vote by the City Council and the initial capital investment appropriation of \$6 million. He stated that the process will enter the pre-lease term which will go through the end of 2015. The term of the agreement will see the developer start to make the capital investment in the building. It is hoped that the building will be opened and occupied by 2018 for the community and other uses. This concluded Mr. Evans' presentation.

Councillor Kelley stated that he is excited about what will happen at the Foundry. He commented that \$6 million appropriation should not be seen as the limit to what the City will spend. The point is to make a great project for the City. The numbers do not need to be absolute. He stated that there is a concern with larger property owners in the City running the Foundry. He wanted the draft language to be worded so that the right entity is found.

Councillor Cheung stated it was exciting to see this come to a point of culmination. He questioned whether it was feasible when the Request for Qualification is put out to could it be beneficial if there were multiple organizations in the building. Mr. Evans stated that the CRA will have many public events where they bring into the same room everyone who is interested in the building and not only those with development expertise. All parties will cross pollinate in the process. He stated that through the CRA procurement process they can look into negotiating collaboration among proposals. This is a flexibility that the CRA brings to the procurement process. Councillor Cheung asked what the controls are in place if there is a need to evaluate the financing before the ten year period. Mr. Evans stated that the ten year threshold that has been set looks at a couple of elements. One is the capital improvements that the building may need and the second is the ground rent from the developer. The programmatic goals are reviewed to see if more investment is needed from the reserves to support the uses. He stated that there is a capital reserve, a programmatic reserve, both will be set up by the CRA. There will also be a special reserve set up for the City which will be managed separately. There will be a constant evaluation of the programmatic objectives which will be reviewed with the advisory committee. Councillor Cheung stated that the Foundry gives a reason for the community to go to Kendall Square.

Councillor McGovern wanted to maximize community and public use of the Foundry as much as possible. He would like to see the building use at closer to 60% or higher for community use. This is just an opportunity at this point that he does not want squandered. He spoke about controlling the program. He spoke about the internship opportunities for the youth that most need these opportunities. He requested again space for early childhood education. He stated that the achievement gap starts with the lack of high quality, affordable early childhood education. He stated that he took a tour of Artist for Humanity in Boston and the space was unbelievable. He stated that this building is funded in different ways. The bike racks are made by student from Artists for Humanity and the City of Boston purchases the bike racks. This helps to fund this building. There is open community space which is rented out. They use creativity to maximize their space and increases their ability to function. This has been a successful non-profit business model.

Councillor Mazen stated that everyone is operating in earnest and to ensure that this will be an asset long into the future. He spoke about the most impact that the City Council can have on this project. He spoke about the Request for Qualifications asking for a majority of below market space. He had wanted the City Council to be able to negotiate with the CRA for the maximization of the space and not with the developer. He stated that this project can be replicated all over the City. He wants to make this project as aggressively sustainable as possible. He stated that if there is a need over the \$6 million that funding would need to be put in now. He spoke about the funding mechanism.

Councillor Toomey thanked the residents who have had input into this process. He agreed with Councillor Kelley and Councillor Mazen that if additional resources are needed for the Foundry that the funds be provided. He stated that he has an uneasy feeling that the vision statement does not contain wording pertaining to the focus of the building. He stated that STEM is found in Kendall Square. He asked how small community art organizations will fit into this building. He requested that the vision statement be reviewed and that art and creativity be added to the vision statement and delete manufacturing. He is fearful that the other four disciplines will crowd out the arts. He also wanted to wait until the Request for Qualifications were received before proceeding to a long term lease with the CRA. He asked what happens if the developer in not making a return does the developer come back to the City? Mr. Evans stated that developers take risks for any development entity. He stated that the ten year evaluation is where the CRA and the City determine if the project is viable for the long haul. He stated that the ongoing operations of the building is fine with a range of portfolios, however the building is an old building and will require more upkeep than a standard building. There are unanticipated costs that need to be assessed to keep the building open. Mr. Evans stated that the building was not meeting the community objectives then there would be terms in the sublease of reconciling issues or defaulting on the lease term if they were not performing either financially for the developer or

meeting the community objectives. He stressed that both the CRA and the City would have legal language to ensure that the building was meeting the objectives.

Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that regarding the procurement in hiring a developer the City just does not have the flexibility that the CRA has. This is a key advantage of entering into partnership with the CRA and doing the two-step process of the Request for Qualifications and doing the Request for Proposals. She informed the City Council that the City cannot do the Request for Qualifications process. The City would be conducting a design selection process and hiring a team. The City cannot do a competition of ideas. The uses of the building would need to be clear. The City would do the Request for Qualifications and the Request for Proposals would be one document as opposed to the two-step process proposed by the CRA. The \$6 million for capital improvements is money that will be spent by the City of Cambridge. This needs to be bid separately and the construction work would be done under Chapter 149. She informed the City Council that the demolition of the interior of the building will begin as soon as this month. The City, capital money, would be upfront and this is why the CRA contribution will be for the operating expense. She stated that the City wants this building to be successful and a demonstration building for the whole City. She stated that if the building is not working in a couple of years the administration will come back to the City Council and relook at the process. She stated that it is clear that the assembly space, informal gathering space, gallery spaces, museums and studio spaces for the arts and other uses are all viable spaces. There is no guarantee that the long list of items will be in the building. There could be a discussion on whether there will be any modifications to the objectives.

Councillor Toomey asked how the advisory committee would work; what is their role. Ms. Peterson stated that they are an advisory committee so they will not be approving. The advisory committee will work closely with the CRA and the developer and reporting to the City Manager. She stated that from a practical standpoint you want to be aligned with your advisory committee because the advisory committee will be looking out for the building and the interest of the community. Mr. Evans stated that the key role of the advisory committee from the onset and throughout the life of the building is to watch over the “what” of the building and not the “who.” The advisory committee is not getting into what organization is in the building other than ensuring that there is an arts organization in the building. The advisory board will define and monitor the vision without selecting the individuals that will go into the building. He stated that the developer will work on this aspect and the CRA and City Manager will not get involved in this aspect of the programming to ensure the right mix of organizations are in the building. He stated that it gets tricky when public entities make these decisions.

Councillor Toomey questioned the period of the lease. Mr. Evans responded that the initial lease with the CRA is a 50 year lease that will be revisited every 10 years. He stated that the developer would be coterminous for 50 years and then the lease would be recalibrated every 10 years. He stated that the subleases to the individual tenants

would range. He wanted a long term lease with the development entity because they are making capital investments in the building which will receive a return which will be reinvested back into the building to make improvements into the building so that at the end of the lease the City still has a viable, useable building. Councillor Toomey asked why the lease was for 50 years. Mr. Evans responded that no matter who the development entity is they will be putting a significant cash outlay at the beginning. This takes many years before the developer will get this capital back. It could take as long as 20 years to get the capital back. The CRA and the City wanted to ensure that there was a deep commitment in the building to put in the equipment needed to make the building useable. He further stated that a short period lease was discussed but it was under the advisement of their real estate consultant that a longer term lease would get a stronger partnership with a development entity rather than a turnkey relationship. Councillor Toomey stated that all want this building to succeed but it is important to protect the resources of the City that are being used for the project. This is a tremendous asset for the City as well as a benefit for the residents of the City.

Vice Mayor Benzan stated that in the zoning 20% is set aside for community space. Now we are looking for 40-60%. He stated that he sees the vision of this building to help tackle economic injustice to ensure that residents have a bridge into the innovation economy. He envisions this building be active the entire day. This will be a model and it needs to be used for the arts. He questioned the financial aspect of the building. He hopes that there will not be a tenant that is undercapitalized and the project ends up failing and the City ends up picking up the pieces. If there is default or a breach of the lease who is responsible and how this would proceed. Mr. Evans responded that the responsibility would go to the CRA if there was a default on the lease. There are rights to make adjustments to the lease. The CRA has a potential to operate as a financial buffer. The CRA could be the first line of defense relating to resources before the building falls into default. The CRA has discussed its role as a backstop for the below market rents and the community uses that may be challenged. The CRA will focus its resources upon keeping the programmatic operations going rather than subsidizing the market rents. The CRA is placed in the next layer of risk from the development risk for the project. Mr. Evans noted that institutional community would be looked at as potential collaborators but there would be concern if the institutions were the sole curator of the space because they have a very large presence in the community. This is what was heard during the community process. There is a potential that the institutions could be programmatic partners in development of the ideas of the vision for the project.

Councillor Simmons questioned the use of the building. Mr. Evans stated that the basement of the building is used for parking. He stated that 4,000 square feet in the building had been previously used as tech space. The CRA looked at scenarios that preserved the parking as well as ideas for basement space. Councillor agreed with the oversight by CRA. She stated the initially the community space was to be 10,000 square feet and now it is proposed that 40-60% would be below market rent. She asked how

much physical space does this equal. Mr. Evans explained that the CRA was moving away from square footage because some of the existing square footage may need to be removed based on the usage. He stated that while the zoning describes the area as square footage the CRA is looking at the percentage of the utilization of the building because it is unclear whether it will be 50,000 square feet of usable space. It may be more or it may be less. Currently the building have over 50,000 square feet of usable space, but this may grow or shrink depending on the design and programming of the building. Councillor Simmons suggested that when the Foundry building is completed that it be named in honor of Brian Murphy due to all the work that he put into this building come to the City as a community benefit.

Mayor Maher stated that the City finds itself in a good place with this project. He stated that this building came into the City and then the City had to find a way to make this financially feasible for this project to go forward. This relationship and partnership with the CRA will allow the development of ideas. Over the coming months we will see the ideas come together. He stated that there is a possibility and a probability to make this a good project going forward. This will not be a burden to the taxpayers but will be a benefit to vast numbers in the community. He stated that the building is 53,000 square feet; there are limitations to the building. Mayor Maher stated that there are many competing interests for the use of this building. He is hopeful that this building will not push other issues to the side but will be able to be used as model to see where the next space where this could happen is. He does not want this to become a money pit so that it cannot be replicated. This must be structured in such a way that it makes good financial sense. This model could reshape or retool the youth centers. He stated that limitation cannot be put on the financial or creative aspect so as to tie the hands of the developer. He stated that he hoped to see an array of ideas and meet the needs of the community around the arts.

Councillor Cheung commented that he is trusting the process to go forward. He is confident that this will create a valuable asset for the City. He stated that the City Council and the administration decided to keep the Foundry and now there is a broader scale of ideas for this space. He stated that it in the Request for Qualifications and the Request for Proposals that it should state that the City Council is looking for higher percentages and that the percentages maybe higher than what the City Council is looking for. He looks forward to passing this.

Councillor Mazen spoke about the possibility of more below market rent opportunities. He stated that many of the City Councillors are stating that we can dig deeper. He stated that it is important to see alternative for 90/10; 80/20 and 70/30 percentages. Deputy City Manager Peterson stated that the City is hearing that the City Council wants to hear alternatives and to get as much market rate rents as possible. The City needs to push to get as much as it can and yet have a building that is thriving. She stated that the City owns the land and the building and if the City cannot make the building sustainable

it is going to be challenging to think about this being replicated elsewhere in the City. Councillor Mazen stated that he is confident that the City will be successful with this.

Councillor Kelley commented that he does not mind this being a burden on the taxpayers of Cambridge. He stated that East Cambridge has borne much of the burden of the City's tax base. He was in favor of what it takes to make this work. He stated that he did not know what the final mix should be and whatever the mix is all the members of the City Council will buy into it. He stated that he is not concerned with the financial model being saleable elsewhere in the City.

The Chair opened public comment at 8:40 PM.

D. Margaret Drury, CRA Board member, stated that this is exciting to listen to this evening. She thanked the City Council, City Manager, Deputy City Manager, City Solicitor and the staff for the support and collaboration. She also acknowledge the CRA staff for the cooperation of bringing the Foundry project to where it is today. She stated that she wanted to acknowledge the invaluable support and assistance provided by the late Brian Murphy, former Assistant City Manager for Community Development. She stated that the CRA board is strongly committed to the goal of using the resources from the successful redevelopment of Kendall Square for the public good of the boarder community. The redevelopment of the Foundry building is seen as a wonderful opportunity to further this goal and to create a unique collaborative space for the citizens of Cambridge. The CRA is proud of the transparency of the process that has brought us to this point. There have been approximately twenty public forums and public discussions that resulted in the vision statement objectives and framework for the future. With extensive public input the CRA and the City have crafted a redevelopment and governance plan that will maximize the public and community based element of the building's program. She stated that public participation has been built into the Request for Qualifications and Request for Proposals process and the selection criteria requires a score of "highly advantageous" for feasible proposals that provide the largest allocations of below market community space. The governance plan ensures compliance with these objectives over the long term. The lease terms will require demonstrated adherence to the plan's vision while building in the flexibility needed to ensure that the program can continue to meet the needs of the community. Ms. Drury informed the City Council that the CRA has already approved the Demonstration Plan. She urged the City Council to vote approval on this matter.

Hasson Rashid, 820 Massachusetts Avenue, stated that he was perplexed with the City's policy makers and City administrators because the City has owned this property for three years. He stated that according to federal mandates if the City has property that it owns it must be used for the highest priority which is to serve the homeless sector. He noted that this has not been mentioned in the City's annual plan for the last three years. He stated that he does not know how the City will circumvent this again and

circumventing poverty issues for art issues. He again stated his support for the Foundry being used for the homeless population.

Mark Jaquith, 213 Hurley Street, thanked all for the work. He stated that every time he hears this plan it gets better. It is consistent with the points laid out by the East Cambridge Planning Team. He stated that the City is on a good track here. He stated that he is looking forward to working with the CRA and the City on this project to ensure that it is an asset for East Cambridge. He stated that the Foundry was not surplus property. He stated that it would be a great thing to have workforce training included in the uses for the Foundry. He commented that the homeless population could benefit from this but they do need a lot of work and this should not be overlooked. He stated that the tech and business centers take care of themselves and the arts and performing needs to be emphasized for this building. The more that the City and the CRA does to the building the easier it will be for the delicate sectors in the community that need assistance to be successful.

Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street, noted that regarding the 10,000 square feet the zoning states to use the whole building for the community. She stated that the 10,000 square feet is irrelevant. She noted that City Manager Rossi decided that the City should keep this building. She thanked all who joined the vision because it has made a huge difference. She added that the biggest thanks that could be given to Alexandria is to make this an incredible project and a place in the City where everyone gets value from. Regarding the homeless she stated that the homeless have souls which need to eat as well as their bodies. She stated that the City Council should consider the model discussed by Councillor McGovern.

Vice Mayor Benzan moved that public comment be closed for the hearing at 8:53 PM. The motion carried on a voice vote.

At this time Mayor Maher moved the following order, the question being adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 3)

The question now came on adoption of the order and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
-------	---	-----

NAYS:	None	- 0
-------	------	-----

ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1
---------	--------------------	-----

and the order was –

Adopted.

At this time Mayor Maher moved the following order, the question being adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 4)

The question now came on adoption of the order and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was –
Adopted.

At this time Mayor Maher moved the following order, the question being adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 5)

The question now came on adoption of the order and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was –
Adopted.

Mayor Maher now moved Agenda Item # 10 the same being a communication from Richard C. Rossi, City Manager, relative to the appropriation of \$6,000,000 from Free Cash to the Public Investment Fund Public Works Extraordinary Expenditures Account to facilitate necessary initial capital improvements to the Foundry building be consistent with City Council Policy Order #16 adopted on March 17, 2014, and to support the reuse of the building according to the vision and objectives identified through a robust community process, which reads as follows:

(HERE INSERT APPROPRIATION ORDER FOR AGENDA # 10)

The question now came on adoption of the order and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was –
Adopted.

The hearing adjourned at 8:58 PM.

RESOLUTION TWENTY-ONE

Mayor Maher moved suspension of the rules to dispense with the regular order of business to bring forward a Resolution #21 to thank Grant Casassa for his eighteen years of service.

The question now came on suspension of the rules and on a voice vote the rules were – Suspended.

Mr. Rossi stated that this is a rare occasion when you get a chance to thank someone who has worked behind the scenes for years. He stated that Grant has worked with Calvin Lindsay in the Cable Office to produce all the great cable programming in the City.

The following resolution was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT RESOLUTION # 21)

Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

CALENDAR

1 Here insert Calendar # 1 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Kelley stated that this application is for the approval of the City Council to allow the banners to protrude into the public way. This application is also the subject of a variance with the Board of Zoning Appeal because their request exceeds the zoning limits on signs. He stated that this is a way to inform the Board of Zoning Appeal that the City Council is not supportive of this particular variance by voting against the banners in the public way. He stated that he would like to deny this application.

Councillor McGovern asked what the options are that can be utilized on this application because he did not want the Planning Board and the Board of Zoning Appeal to have two different recommendations. He stated that this seems to be excessive and there is a lot of signage on this building already.

Councillor Simmons stated that she wanted signs that conform. She would support Councillor Kelley and would also vote not to approve the banners.

Councillor Cheung stated that there has been no communication from the applicant. He stated that the Planning Board has worked on this. He agreed that this is excessive but did not understand why this application was passed to the City Council for approval.

Mayor Maher commented that requests such as this are received from time to time and you want to help the business, which may be struggling. However, he stated that Citizens' Bank has not been a very good neighbor in this community. He noted that other community banks have been terrific corporate neighbors and have helped the

non-profit agencies in the City. He stated that he would be voting in the negative on this matter as well.

The question now came on adoption of the application from Citizens' Bank at 30 Brattle Street for banners and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	None	- 0
NAYS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the application for the banners was –
Not approved.

2 No action taken.

3 Here insert Calendar # 3 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Cheung moved that the item be placed on file - and on a voice vote of eight the motion -
Carried.

4 Here insert Calendar # 4 ready by Mayor Maher. Councillor Cheung moved that the item be placed on file - and on a voice vote of eight the motion
Carried.

5 Here insert Calendar # 5 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Kelley stated that this rule change is for appointments to both the Cambridge Housing Authority and the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority. When the City Manager makes an appointment, prior to the confirmation, a City Council committee hearing will be held to discuss the confirmation and review the make up of the board and ensure the City Council's vision of the work and skill of the board are being reflective in the board membership and the nominee. He explained that the rule change was proposed last week and was laid on the table for one week and is ready for adoption.

The question now came on adoption of the order to amend the City Council Rules to add a new Rule 31C, as follows:

(HERE INSERT ORDER FOR CALENDAR ITEM # 5)

On this question the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS:	Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern, Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher	- 8
NAYS:	None	- 0
ABSENT:	Councillor Carlone	- 1

and the order was –
Adopted.

CONSENT APPLICATIONS AND PETITIONS

1 - 3 Referred to the City Manager with power

CONSENT COMMUNICATIONS

#1-82 Placed on file.

CONSENT RESOLUTIONS

Councillor Simmons moved that the Resolutions be brought forward for adoption, discussion and upon adoption that they be unanimously sponsored. The motion carried on a voice vote of eight members.

#1-15 Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1 Here insert Committee Report # 1 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Simmons gave a brief overview of what was discussed in the hearing.

The report was -

Accepted and placed on file.

#2 Here insert Committee Report # 2 read by Mayor Maher.

3 Here insert Committee Report # 3 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Simmons discussed both committee reports together.

2 The report was -

Accepted and placed on file.

#3 The report was -

Accepted and placed on file.

In connection with this matter Councillor McGovern submitted the following order, the question being on adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 6)

The order was -

Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

In connection with this matter Councillor McGovern submitted the following order, the question being on adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 7)

The order was -

Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

Councillor Simmons moved suspension of the rules in order to introduce a late Policy Order on the appointment of Gerard Clark to the Housing Authority.

The question now came on suspension of the rules and on a voice vote the rules were -

Suspended.

The following order was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:
(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 8)

The question now came on adoption of the order and the roll was called and resulted as follows:

YEAS: Vice Mayor Benzan, Councillors Cheung, Kelley, Mazen, McGovern,
Simmons, Toomey and Mayor Maher - 8

NAYS: None - 0
ABSENT: Councillor Carlone - 1
and the order was –

Adopted.

4 Here insert Committee Report # 4 read by Mayor Maher. The report was -
Accepted and placed on file.

The question now came on passage to a second reading the proposed amendment to the Municipal Code in Chapter 9.04 entitled "Offenses Against Property," which reads as follows:

(HERE INSERT FIRST PUBLICATION #3362)

The proposed amendment was -

Passed to a second reading at the City Council meeting held on May 4, 2015 and on or after May 18, 2015 the question comes on passing to be ordained.

NON CONSENT POLICY ORDERS

1 Here insert Policy Order # 1 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Toomey stated that part of the commitment letter from MIT was that \$25,000 was to be provided to create an apprenticeship program. He inquired where the City is in the process. He stated that it would be good to know if this program is up and running for Cambridge residents to be part of the unions. He wanted an update on how many opportunities have been provided to Cambridge residents.

The following order was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 1)

The order was –

Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

2 Here insert Policy Order # 2 read by Mayor Maher. Councillor Mazen stated that now with video you can actually call up a long video and type in a word and it can tell where the marker is on the video for the word selected. This is if what is being proposed to be procured has this technology. He spoke about annotating the minutes as the process is ongoing. He wanted to add an amendment to add the annotation aspect. Councillor Cheung explained that the City is working on captioning. The City Clerk explained that the City Clerk's Office has gone through and E-Gov process to purchase a new City Council data base. The funding for this is part of the FY2016 budget and when approved a vendor will be selected that will have the criteria described.

The following order was now considered, the question being on adoption, to wit:

(HERE INSERT POLICY ORDER # 2).

The order was –

Adopted by the affirmative vote of eight members.

#3-5 Orders adopted in the Foundry hearing.

#6-8 Orders adopted in Committee Report # 3.

LATE RESOLUTIONS

Councillor Simmons moved that the late resolutions upon adoption be unanimously sponsored. The motion carried on a voice vote of eight members.

#16-28 Resolutions adopted on an affirmative vote of eight members.

ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Councillor Toomey the meeting adjourned at 9:30 PM.

A list of documents and other exhibits used at the meeting:

**City Manager's Agenda
Council Agenda
CD of meeting**